

# The 42-point submittal checklist

What a reviewer looks for before you ever see the stamp. Built by a structural engineer who has sat on the reviewing side of the table. *Run it before every package leaves your desk.*

FREE TO USE AND SHARE · ENGINEER-BUILT · AUGUST 2026

---

A rejected submittal costs a second review cycle, hours of reassembly, and the schedule that was waiting on the approval. Almost every rejection on this list takes under two minutes to prevent.

## A Before you assemble - 7 checks

*Most rejections are decided before the first page is scanned.*

☐ **Read the spec section's PART 1 submittal paragraph line by line.**

List every required item: product data, shop drawings, samples, certifications, warranties, O&M, LEED. Reviewers check this list first.

---

☐ **Read Division 01 (01 33 00) for the general submittal rules.**

Copies, electronic format, file-naming convention, stamp requirements. Breaking a Division 01 rule rejects a technically perfect package.

---

☐ **Confirm whether the item is "for approval" or "for record."**

Submitting record items for approval clogs the log and slows the whole register.

---

☐ **Match the submittal number to the register and the spec section.**

---

☐ **Check lead time + review duration against the procurement date.**

Flag long-lead items now. A perfect approval that arrives after the install date is still a failure.

---

☐ **Identify deferred and delegated-design submittals early.**

Anything needing a specialty engineer's stamp has its own clock.

---

☐ **Confirm who actually reviews it.**

Architect, EOR, MEP engineer, owner's rep. A package routed wrong loses a full review cycle.

---

## B The transmittal and cover - 5 checks

*The first page decides the reviewer's mood for the next fifty.*

☐ **Submittal number follows the project convention (section–sequence–revision).**

---

☐ **Description matches the spec section title exactly.**

---

☐ **The contractor's review stamp is signed and dated.**

Many reviewers reject an unstamped package without reading page two. It says nobody on your side looked first.

---

☐ **Resubmittals state how every previous comment was resolved.**

Point to the page. Making the reviewer hunt for their own comment is how a second rejection happens.

---

☐ **One submittal, one spec section.**

Mixed sections force a partial review and an automatic "revise and resubmit."

---

## c Product data - 8 checks

*The reviewer's core question: can I find the specified value on your page?*

☐ **The exact model is arrowed or highlighted** on any catalog page showing multiple options.

---

☐ **Every specified performance value is locatable in the data.**

R-value, PSI, gauge, flame spread, wind rating. If the spec names a number, your page must show that number.

---

☐ **The manufacturer is specified or a named acceptable equal.**

Anything else is a substitution and needs the form, not a quiet swap.

---

☐ **Options and accessories are selected, not left as ranges.**

---

☐ **Finish and color selections are marked.**

---

☐ **Compliance with referenced standards is shown, not claimed.**

ASTM, UL, AISC, NSF: the listing or test data appears in the package.

---

☐ **Required certifications are attached.**

Mill certs, test reports, ICC-ES reports. "Available upon request" reads as "not checked."

---

☐ **The cut sheet is current.**

A discontinued product line found by the reviewer ends the package's credibility.

---

## d Shop drawings - 7 checks

☐ **Field dimensions are verified, or honestly marked "field verify."**

---

☐ **Details reference contract drawing numbers and grid lines.**

---

☐ **Connections, anchors and embeds are fully shown.**

These are what the EOR is actually reviewing. A missing connection detail is a guaranteed comment.

---

☐ **Conflicts with the contract drawings are clouded and flagged.**

Never silent. A conflict the reviewer finds is a rejection; a conflict you flag is a conversation.

---

☐ **Scales, section marks and text survive at print size.**

---

☐ **Delegated-design sheets carry the specialty engineer's stamp.**

---

☐ **Adjacent-trade coordination is noted.**

Openings, blocking, clearances, power requirements. The reviewer checks whether you talked to the other trades.

---

## E Cross-document coordination - 6 checks

*The rejections that hurt most hide between documents, not inside one.*

☐ **Product data agrees with the shop drawing.**

The same model number on both. It is astonishing how often it is not.

---

☐ **Dimensions agree across architectural and structural references.**

---

☐ **Electrical characteristics match the electrical drawings.**

Voltage, phase, MCA. Equipment approved at the wrong voltage becomes a change order.

---

☐ **Equipment weights were checked against the structure.**

Rooftop units and suspended equipment: the EOR will ask.

---

☐ **The physical sample matches the finish schedule.**

---

☐ **Addenda and ASI changes are incorporated.**

Confirm you are reading the current spec, not the bid set.

---

## F Deviations and substitutions - 4 checks

☐ **Every deviation is listed on a deviation sheet.**

A hidden deviation discovered later costs the rejection and the trust. You never get the second one back.

---

☐ **Substitutions use the project's form with a side-by-side comparison.**

---

☐ **Cost and schedule impact is stated, even when it is zero.**

---

☐ **"Or equal" is proven with data, not asserted.**

---

# G The last pass - 5 checks

*Ten minutes here saves three weeks there.*

☐ **Every page is legible, right-side up, in a bookmarked PDF with a contents page.**

---

☐ **The file name follows the project protocol.**

---

☐ **Page count sanity check.**

Merges silently drop pages. Count what went in against what came out.

---

☐ **Someone who did not assemble it reads it cold for ten minutes.**

---

☐ **The final question: "Can the reviewer approve this without asking a single question?"**

If the answer is no, you already know what the comment will be. Fix it now, while it is free.

---

---

---

## Want to know your package's rejection risk before you send it?

The free **Red Markup Risk Score** asks 14 questions about how your packages actually get built and shows exactly where the exposure lives.

Free, two minutes, engineer-built. [redmarkup.com](https://redmarkup.com)

Red Markup · Engineer-built submittal & document review. This checklist is free to print, share and use on any project. It is general good practice, not engineering advice for a specific project.